UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,355	08/16/2006	Andreas Gunther	2400.0200000/SRL	2719	
	1 7590 12/04/2009 ERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			OH, TAYLOR V		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005	20005		PAPER NUMBER	
			1625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/568,355	GUNTHER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Taylor Victor Oh	1625		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statudiny reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA- 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-9 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the constant of the const	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) in	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)	» П			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application		

In view of the Declaration filed on 01/16/09 and the amendment filed on 7/27/09, the examiner has been withdrawn from the previous Office Action and decided to give another non-final office action.

The Status of Claims:

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are pending.

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are under consideration in this Office Action.

Priority

2. It is noted that this application is a 371 of PCT/EP04/09117 (08/13/2004), which has a foreign priority document, Germany 10337885.5 (08/18/2003) ,which is not in the file. .

Drawings

3. None.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorsten et al (translated version of WO/0216304).

Thorsten et al discloses the followings (see abstract page):

The invention relates to a novel, advantageous method for producing alpha fluoromalonic acid dialkyl esters of general formula (I) by reacting a compound of general formula (II) with an addition product of hydrogen fluoride and a trialkylamine, under pressure and at temperatures ranging from 103 DEG C to 130 DEG C. In formulae (I) and (2), R<1> represents alkoxy having 1 to 6 carbon atoms, R&It;2> represents hydrogen or fluorine, and R<3> represents hydrogen, fluorine or chlorine.; The invention relates to a novel, advantageous method for producing alpha fluoromalonic acid dialkyl esters of general formula (I) by reacting a compound of general formula (II) with an addition product of hydrogen fluoride and a trialkylamine, under pressure and at temperatures ranging from 103 DEG C to 130 DEG C. In formulae (I) and (2), R&It;1> represents alkoxy having 1 to 6 carbon atoms, R&It;2> represents hydrogen or fluorine, and R<3> represents hydrogen, fluorine or chlorine.

The reaction temperatures can be varied at the time of the execution of the procedure according to invention within a larger range. Generally one works at temperatures preferentially from 103 C to 130 C, preferably at temperatures from 104 C to 110 C, particularly at temperatures from 104 C to 107 C.

The procedure according to invention is accomplished generally under increased pressure (self-pressure). Generally one works at pressures from 1,3 to 9 bar, preferentially at pressures from 1,3 to 4 bar.

The procedure according to invention exhibits a set of advantages. Thus aFluor maions uredially esters are already received after half of the response time, which is usual with well-known procedures. In the procedure according to invention the response time amounts to 12 hours during with well-known procedures 24 to 72 hours of response time is necessary (see. DE-A 42 37 892). A further advantage are the yields higher compared with conventional procedures around at least 15%. Therefore the new procedure is in particular suitable for industrial application well.

The Dicarbonylverbindungen of the general formula (II) and all other parent compounds are usual commercial products or can by simple procedures of these be made.

Used for the execution of the procedure according to invention generally accumulation products of hydrogen fluoride because of tri alkyl amines, which per mol tri alkyl amine 1 to 3 mole hydrogen fluoride contain, preferably is this relationship with 1: 1 to 2, particularly prefers with 1: 1.

(see page 1, 9 and 11 paragraphs).

However, the instant invention differs from the prior art in that the claimed reaction pressure range is 1200 mbar which is slightly different from the prior art pressure.

With respect to the slight difference between the pressure in the reaction process,

the prior art process is conducted in the range of from 1.3 bar to 4 bar, whereas the claimed pressure range is from 800 mbar (0.8 bar) to 1200 mbar(1.2 bar). The claimed ranges and the prior art do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled artisan in the art would have expected them to have the similar reaction conditions in the absence of an unexpected result. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in the art to be motivated to optimize the prior process by controlling the pressure by routine experimentation.

Thorsten et al expressly teaches the method for preparing dialkyl alphafluoromalonates by reacting dialkyl chloromalonate with hydrogen fluoride and triethylamine at a temperature range from 103 to 130° C at a pressure of from 1.3 to 4 bar, which is similar to the claimed process. In spite of the slight pressure difference between the prior art and the claimed invention, the limitation of a process with respect to ranges of pH, time, temperature, an rate does not impart patentability to a process when such values are those which would be determined by one of ordinary skill in the art in achieving optimum operation of the process. The pressure in the process claim is well-understood by those of ordinary skill in the art to be a result–effective variable, especially when attempting to control the overall process. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in the art to be motivated to optimize the Thorsten et al process by controlling the pressure by routine experimentation. This is because the skilled artisan in the art would expect such a process to be successful and manageable.

Remarks:

Concerning the Declaration filed on 01/16/09, applicants' arguments have little patentable weight over the recent office action based on the prior art

Art Unit: 1625

Thorsten et al (translated version of WO/0216304) unlike the previous office

action heavily relied on prior art Bohm et al (US 5,391,811).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 571-272-0689. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Taylor Victor Oh, MSD,LAC

Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1625

/Taylor Victor Oh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

12/02/09